19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7		
8	GREGORY L. DOWDY,	
9	Petitioner,	No. C 09-03144 WHA
10	v.	
11	BEN CURRY,	ORDER RE EVIDENTIARY HEARING
12	Respondent.	
13		
14	Respondent's counsel has moved to vacate the evidentiary hearing scheduled for	
15	December 9, 2013, and for the issue of petitioner's mental competency from 1999 to 2009 t	
1617	decided on the papers. Our court of appeals has held that where a record is sufficiently	
18	developed, an evidentiary hearing is not required. <i>Roberts v. Marshall</i> , 627 F.3d 768, 773 (
10	C: 2011)	

o be 9th Cir. 2011).

Before the court rules, petitioner will be given one more chance to state his position by NOVEMBER 7, 2013, AT NOON, on whether an evidentiary hearing is required or whether the issue can be decided on the papers.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 1, 2013.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE